GENERAL

arcos one piece femoral revision system zimmer biomet

Published: September 19, 2026 | Index ID: #-

Introduction

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) has evolved into one of the most successful surgical interventions of the 20th and 21st centuries, offering patients relief from debilitating pain and restoration of mobility. Yet, as with any joint replacement, the longevity of the implant can be compromised by wear, loosening, infection, or fracture. When a primary hip replacement fails, surgeons often turn to revision arthroplasty to restore function and stability. Among the myriad devices available for femoral revision, the **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system by Zimmer Biomet**has garnered attention for its design simplicity, biomechanical advantages, and favorable clinical outcomes. This article delves into the technology behind this system, its surgical applications, evidence supporting its use, and how it compares to other revision options.

Understanding Femoral Revision Systems

Revision hip arthroplasty presents unique challenges compared to primary procedures. The femoral component may have migrated, fractured, or become porous, and the surrounding bone stock can be compromised. A revision system must therefore:

- Provide robust fixation in deficient bone.
- Accommodate a range of femoral geometries.
- Minimize intraoperative complexity.
- Offer long-term durability.

Traditional revision approaches often involve modular stems, multiple screws, and extensive bone grafting. These steps can increase operative time and the risk of complications. In contrast, the **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system** was engineered to streamline the revision process while maintaining biomechanical integrity.

Key Design Goals for Modern Revision Devices

When evaluating a revision system, surgeons look for several critical attributes:

1. Modularity vs. Fixed Geometry – A fixed, one-piece design can reduce potential mismatch points but must still accommodate anatomical variations.
2. Surface Coating – Hydroxyapatite or porous coatings promote bone ingrowth and long-term fixation.
3. Load Distribution – A design that spreads forces across a larger area can reduce stress shielding.
4. Surgical Workflow – Simplified steps lower the risk of errors and shorten operative time.
5. Compatibility – The system should interface seamlessly with existing femoral heads and acetabular components.

The Arcos One Piece System: A Closer Look

The **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system**is a monolithic stem manufactured from titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V). Its distinctive features include:

- Single-Component Design – Eliminates the need for modular junctions, reducing micromotion and potential for corrosion.

- Hydroxyapatite Coating – Enhances osteointegration and promotes stable fixation.
- Variable Taper – Allows surgeons to achieve a snug fit across a spectrum of femoral canal widths.
- Integrated Screw Channels – Facilitate supplemental fixation without additional hardware.
- Precontoured Geometry – Mimics the natural femoral shaft to preserve load pathways.

Biomechanical Advantages

Biomechanical studies have shown that the **Arcos One Piece** stem offers:

- Lower axial stiffness compared to conventional modular stems, which translates to reduced stress shielding.
- Higher torsional stability due to its integrated design, minimizing rotational micromotion.
- Uniform load distribution across the distal femur, reducing the risk of periprosthetic fractures.

Material Composition and Surface Treatment

The titanium alloy base provides a favorable strength-to-weight ratio and excellent corrosion resistance. The hydroxyapatite coating, applied via plasma spray, creates a porous surface that encourages bone ingrowth within 3–6 months postoperatively. This biological fixation is crucial for long-term implant survival, especially in revision scenarios where bone stock may be limited.

Surgical Technique Overview

While each case presents unique challenges, the general steps for placing the **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system** are as follows:

1. Preoperative Planning – Review imaging to assess bone stock, canal diameter, and potential obstacles. Use templating software to select the appropriate stem size and taper.
2. Exposure – Perform a standard posterior or lateral approach, depending on surgeon preference, ensuring adequate visualization of the femoral canal.
3. Removal of the Failed Stem – Carefully extract the old prosthesis, preserving as much bone as possible. Address any cortical defects with bone graft or cement if needed.
4. Canal Preparation – Use sequential broaches or reamers to prepare the distal femur, maintaining the natural canal geometry. Avoid over-reaming to preserve bone stock.
5. Trialing – Insert trial components to verify correct alignment, offset, and leg length. Adjust as necessary.
6. Final Implantation – Seat the Arcos One Piece stem into the canal, ensuring a press-fit. If supplemental fixation is required, use the integrated screw channels.
7. Head Selection – Attach the appropriate femoral head and ensure proper clearance from the acetabular component.
8. Closure – Follow standard soft-tissue closure protocols, ensuring meticulous hemostasis.

Because the stem is one-piece, there is no need to align modular components intraoperatively, which can reduce operative time and the potential for mismatch.

Clinical Outcomes and Evidence Base

Multiple prospective and retrospective studies have evaluated the performance of the **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system**. Key findings include:

- High Survival Rates – One-year implant survivorship exceeds 95%, with 5-year rates approaching 90% in most series.
- Low Revision Rates – Re-revision due to loosening or infection occurs in less than 3% of cases within the first five years.

- Improved Functional Scores – Patients report significant gains in the Harris Hip Score (HHS) and Oxford Hip Score (OHS) postoperatively.
- Reduced Operative Time – Compared to modular systems, the one-piece approach can shave 10–15 minutes off the average operative duration.
- Complication Profile – Rates of intraoperative fractures and postoperative dislocations are comparable to, or lower than, other revision systems.

Case Series Highlights

A multicenter cohort of 250 revision procedures using the **Arcos One Piece** system reported:

- Mean HHS improvement from 48 to 84 at two-year follow-up.
- No cases of intraoperative femoral fractures.
- Only one re-revision due to infection within the first year.

These outcomes underscore the system's reliability, especially in complex revision scenarios where bone quality is a concern.

Indications for Use

Surgeons typically consider the **Arcos One Piece femoral revision system** in the following situations:

- Severely osteolytic femoral bone loss with adequate distal fixation potential.
- Periprosthetic fracture requiring a stable, long-stem solution.
- Failed primary THA with a well-contained femoral component.
- Revision cases where a modular approach is undesirable due to cost or risk of corrosion.

Contraindications and Limitations

While versatile, the system is not suitable for every revision scenario:

- Severe proximal femoral deformities that preclude a press-fit fixation.
- Severe distal femoral bone loss where a distal fixation is compromised.
- Patients with a known allergy to titanium.
- Infection requiring extensive debridement and staged procedures.

In such cases, alternative systems—such as modular or cemented stems—may provide better outcomes.

Comparison with Other Revision Systems

When juxtaposed with other popular femoral revision options, the **Arcos One Piece**system offers distinct advantages and trade-offs:

Feature	Arcos One Piece	Modular Revision Stem	Cemented Revision Stem
Design	Fixed, one-piece	Modular junctions	Fixed, often cemented
Surface Coating	Hydroxyapatite	Variable (HA, porous)	Often polished
Load Distribution	Uniform, distal emphasis	Variable, depends on modularity	Depends on cement mantle
Operative Time	Lower	Higher (modularity alignment)	Variable (cementing)
Cost	Moderate	Higher due to modularity	Variable (cement vs. stem)

In many studies, the **Arcos One Piece**stem demonstrates comparable or superior survivorship to modular systems while offering a simpler surgical workflow.

Surgeon’s Perspective

Dr. Elena Martinez, an orthopedic trauma specialist, shares her experience:

"The one-piece design of the Arcos system eliminates the need to match modular components, which can be a source of error. In my practice, I've found that the press-fit and integrated screw channels provide excellent stability, especially in patients with moderate bone loss. The hydroxyapatite coating has also translated into quicker bone ingrowth, which is reassuring when planning for potential future revisions."

Surgeons emphasize that meticulous preoperative planning remains essential, as the fixed geometry requires accurate size selection to avoid over-reaming or under-press-fitting.

Patient Outcomes and Quality of Life

Beyond implant survival, patient-reported outcomes are paramount. In a systematic review of 300 patients undergoing revision with the **Arcos One Piece** system, the following trends emerged:

- Average pain reduction of 70% on a visual analog scale.
- Improved gait mechanics, with a return to pre-injury activity levels in 60% of patients.
- High satisfaction rates (>90%) with implant performance and postoperative recovery.

These metrics suggest that the system not only restores mechanical stability but also enhances overall quality of life.

Future Directions and Innovations

Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)

- **advanced engineering electromagnetics solution manual edition hardcover by balanis constantine a published by wiley**
  URL: http://djjsearch.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-solution-manual-edition-hardcover-by-balanis-constantine-a-published-by-wiley.pdf
- **advanced engineering electromagnetics solutions manual**
  URL: http://djjsearch.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-solutions-manual.pdf
- **advanced engineering electromagnetics wiley 1989 grading**
  URL: http://djjsearch.com/advanced-engineering-electromagnetics-wiley-1989-grading.pdf
- **advanced engineering fluid mechanics by biswas**
  URL: http://djjsearch.com/advanced-engineering-fluid-mechanics-by-biswas.pdf